REMARKS

The Examiner is thanked for the Official Action of June 22nd, 2010. This amendment and

request reconsideration is submitted along with the attached amendment and is intended to be

fully responsive thereto and to comply with the suggestions given during First Office Action

after the RCE.

Rejections under 35 U.S.C. § 112

Claim 1 is amended with this submission to more clearly define the invention. The

Examiner stated that it was unclear which wall faces were pressed against the guide bar.

Applicant amends the claim to read "either wall faces (81a, 81c) or (81b, 81d) to press against

said guide bar (40)" thus making it clear that either 81 a/c or 81 b/d press against the bar, but not both at the same time. With the amendment the rejection should now be moot.

Allowable Subject Matter

The Examiner is thanked for his recognition of allowable subject matter in the present

application. Claim 2 is canceled with this submission and the content thereof incorporated into

Claim 1, including all limitations. Claim 1 has also been amended to clarify the \S 112 rejections

as noted above and now includes the patentable matter of claim two. Claim 1 should now be

allowable.

Conclusion

In view of the above, Applicant respectfully submits that amended Claim 1 now recites

statutory subject matter that is novel and new, is subject matter of the present invention and is

fully supported in the disclosure of the present invention, and therefore respectfully requests

that Claim 1 be found allowable and that this application be passed to issue. No new matter

3

has been included.

Application No. 10/559,144

Docket No. 054-602

Respectfully submitted,

By: /Tracy M Heims 53010/

Tracy M. Heims

Reg. No. 53,010

Apex Juris, pllc 12733 Lake City Way Northeast Seattle, Washington, 98125 Email: tracy@apexjuris.com Phone (206) 664-0314 Fax (206) 664-0329